

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,543	04/27/2001	Lawrence Lam	PALM-3570.US.P	3058	
7	7590 09/19/2002				
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			EXAMINER		
Two North Market Street, Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113			CHANG, YEAN HSI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2835		
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		-	Mc			
.		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
		09/844,543	LAM, LAWRENCE			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	01110071011011	Yean-Hsi Chang	2835			
•	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
Period fo	r Reply					
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r eame	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta- eply received by the Office later than three months after the main d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	77 April 2001 .				
1)⊠		This action is non-final.				
2a)☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Since this application is in condition for all		atters, prosecution as to the merits is			
3) Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
-	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
الكار ا	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5\□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	- 20 Control of the c					
, —	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,11,13,19 and 20</u> is/are objected to.					
ا الم	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
	ion Papers					
• •	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.			
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th					
i i	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
)		·			
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation. See the attached detailed Office action for	priority documents have be al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a a list of the certified copies	een received in this National Stage			
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application ha	is been received.			
Attachm		•				
1) 🛛 No	ent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · le of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	d Trademark Office		Part of Paner No. 2			

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1,4-6 and 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis (US 5,841,424) in view of Batio (US 5,949,643).

Kikinis teaches a keyboard sled comprising:

- A receiving portion (19a, fig. 1) adapted to receive a portable computer system (37, fig. 4) (claim 1)
- An interface connector (29, fig. 2) disposed within said receiving portion
 (claim 1)
- A mounting mechanism (35, fig. 4) disposed within said receiving portion
 (claim 1)
- A keyboard portion (13, fig. 3) for providing alphanumeric keys (not shown, see col. 3, lines 20-22) (claims 1 and 5)
- Wherein a pass channel is provided between slots (53, fig. 5; also see col. 5, lines 35-43) (claim 4)

Art Unit: 2835

Wherein said keyboard portion is a split keyboard (shown in fig. 4) having a left portion (13, fig. 4) and a separately located right portion (17, fig. 4) (claim
 6)

 Wherein said computer system is a palmtop computer (see col. 4, lines 10-13) (claim 9)

Kikinis fails to teach a data storage access slot. However, Batio teaches a keyboard sled (202, fig. 19) comprising a data storage access slot (208, fig. 19). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Kikinis with the keyboard sled taught by Batio so that the connected portable computer may have more space for data storage.

3. Claims 10, 12 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis (US 5,841,424) in view of Batio (US 5,949,643).

Kikinis teaches a gaming sled comprising:

- A receiving portion (19a, fig. 1) adapted to receive a portable computer system (37, fig. 4) (claim 10)
- An interface connector (29, fig. 2) disposed within said receiving portion enabling communication between said gaming sled and portable computer (claims 10 and 12)
- A mounting mechanism (35, fig. 4) disposed within said receiving portion (claim 10)

Art Unit: 2835

 A gaming controls portion (13, fig. 3) for providing game control input and include joystick functionality and function control buttons (15, fig. 4; see also col. 3, lines 20-22) (claims 10 and 15)

 Wherein a pass channel is provided between slots (53, fig. 5; also see col. 5, lines 35-43) (claim 14)

Kikinis fails to teach a data storage access slot. However, Batio teaches a gaming sled (202, fig. 19) comprising a data storage access slot (208, fig. 19). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Kikinis with the keyboard sled taught by Batio so that the connected portable computer may have more space for data storage.

4. Claims 18 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis (US 5,841,424) in view of Batio (US 5,949,643).

Kikinis teaches a system comprising:

- A portable computer (37, fig. 4) (claim 18)
- A sled (11, fig. 4) comprising:
 - A receiving portion (19a, fig. 1) adapted to receive said portable computer system (claim 18)
 - An interface connector (29, fig. 2) disposed within said receiving portion to provide a communication link between said sled and said portable computer when installed (claim 18)



Application/Control Number: 09/844,543

Art Unit: 2835

- A mounting mechanism (35, fig. 4) disposed within said receiving portion (claim 18)
- A keyboard portion (13, fig. 3) for providing alphanumeric input keys (not shown, see col. 3, lines 20-22) (claims 18 and 22)
- Wherein a pass channel is provided between slots (53, fig. 5; also see col.
 5, lines 35-43) (claim 21)
- Wherein said keyboard portion is a split keyboard (shown in fig. 4) having a left side portion (13, fig. 4) and a separately located right side portion (17, fig. 4) (claim 23)
- A gaming control portion (15, fig. 4; see also col. 3, lines 20-22) (claim 24)

Kikinis fails to teach a data storage access slot. However, Batio teaches a keyboard sled (202, fig. 19) comprising a data storage access slot (208, fig. 19). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Kikinis with the keyboard sled taught by Batio so that the connected portable computer may have more space for data storage.

5. Claims 7-8, 16-17 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis in view of Batio, further in view of Madsen et al. (US 6,181,284 B1).

Kikinis in view of Batio discloses the claimed invention except a wireless modem. However, Madsen teaches a keyboard sled (26, fig. 1) comprising an antenna system (12, fig. 1) being configured to be in communication with a wireless communication

Art'Unit: 2835

network which may include wireless modem and Bluetooth technology (see col. 7, lines 21-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Kikinis modified by Batio with the antenna system taught by Madsen such that the sled may be able to communicate with a wireless network.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2-3, 11, 13 and 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The best prior art of record, Kikinis (US 5,841,424), Batio (US 5,949,643) and Madsen et al. (US 6,181,284 B1) taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or fairly suggest a keyboard sled comprising: a receiving portion being configured to receive a portable computer system in a landscape orientation as set forth in claims 2, 11 and 19; and a mounting mechanism being insertable in a mounting hook receiving slot of a portable computer as set forth in claims 3, 13 and 20.

Correspondence





Art Unit: 2835

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yean-Hsi Chang whose telephone number is (703) 306-5798. The examiner can normally be reached on 07:30-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (703) 308-4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and for After Final communications. There are RightFAX numbers and provide the fax sender with an auto-reply fax verifying receipt by the USPTO: Before-Final (703-872-9318) and After-Final (703-872-9319).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-8558.

Yean-Hsi Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2835 September 15, 2002

DARREN SCHUBERG \
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMMER
TECHNOLOGY CENTEB 2800